

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/068,626	02/05/2002	Eric V. Wade	19932/3	8230
7590 06/07/2004			EXAMINER	
John S. Beulick			LEWIS, RALPH A	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Sq., Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 63102			3732	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/068,626	WADE, ERIC V.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	1			
		Ralph A. Lewis	3732				
- Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communicater 	ion appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 BX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimu y period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>08 March 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ · · 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 13-34 is/are pending a) Of the above claim(s) is/are we claim(s) is/are we claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 13-34 is/are rejected contain(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10)[] 7	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been receive cuments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this Nationa).	I Stage			
Attachment	(s)	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date	0/SB/08) 5) ☐ No	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT ler:	[·] O-152)			

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 32, it is unclear how the "dental bur" relates to the claimed structure of splash guard - (i.e. the "inner aperture" and "plurality of fins"). The claimed elements of a device must be reasonably related toward one another so as to present a device capable of performing the intended function (i.e. splash guard).

Rejections based on Obvious-type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1, 4-11 and 13-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,350,124. The patented claims of 6,350,124 include all the limitations of the present claims, the slight variations in the manner in which the present pending claims differ from the patented claims of 6,350,124 would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

Action Made Final

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,626 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4-11, 13-33 and 35 would be allowable upon the filing of a terminal

disclaimer to overcome the obvious-type double patenting rejection above and in the

case of claims 32 and 33 if amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ralph Lewis at

telephone number (703) 308-0770. Fax (703) 872-9302. The examiner works a

compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (703) 308-2582.

R.Lewis June 1, 2004

Ralph A. Lewis
Primary Examiner

Au3732